APPENDIX P:

ESG REPORTING VIA eCON PLANNING SUITE



ESG eCon Planning Suite Reporting



Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) recipients are required to complete screens CR-60, CR-65, CR-70, and CR-75 of the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) module in the eCon Planning Suite of the Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The following tables meet this HUD requirement and are submitted as part of the City of San Diego's Fiscal Year 2013 CAPER.

CR-60 ESG (ESG Recipients Only)

Regulation Citation(s): 24 CFR 91.520(g)

Section 1: Basic ESG Recipient & Sub-Recipient Information

The following tables present information on the City of San Diego and San Diego Housing Commission as the ESG recipient and sub-recipient, respectively:

Table 1a: Recipient Information

| Recipient Name | City of San Diego |
|--|--|
| Name of Organization of Department | Economic Development |
| Administering Funds | |
| Organizational DUNS Number | 138735407 |
| EIN/TIN Number | 95-6000776 |
| Identify the Field Office | Los Angeles |
| Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or sub- | San Diego City and County Continuum of Care (CA- |
| recipient will provide ESG assistance | 601) |
| City | San Diego |
| State | California |
| Banking Flag | - |
| Block Access Flag | - |
| Entitlement Flag | Yes |
| Organization Type | Government |

Table 1b. Sub-Recipient Information

| Sub-Recipient or Contractor Name | San Diego Housing Commission |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| City, State, and ZIP Code | San Diego, California 92101 |
| DUNS Number | 41481276 |
| Is sub-recipient a VAWA-DV provider | No |
| Sub-recipient Organization Type | Government |
| ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount | \$1,177,964(FY 2013 allocation); \$372,022 (FY 2012 second allocation) |

Appendix P Page 1 of 7

ESG eCon Planning Suite Reporting



CR-65 ESG Persons Assisted (ESG Recipients Only)

Regulation Citation(s): 24 CFR 91.520(g)

Section 2: Homeless Prevention Activities

The City of San Diego and San Diego Housing Commission accomplished the following homeless prevention activities with ESG funds:

Table 2a. Household Information

| Number of Persons in Household | Cortez Hill ¹ | VVSD ² | Alpha ³ | PATH ⁴ |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Adults | 212 | 608 | 1015 | 299 |
| Children | 340 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Don't Know/Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Missing Information | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 552 | 608 | 1015 | 299 |

Table 2b. Gender Information

| Gender | Cortez Hill | VVSD | Alpha | PATH |
|-------------|-------------|------|-------|----------------|
| Male | 221 | 597 | 699 | 187 |
| Female | 331 | 11 | 316 | 108 |
| Transgender | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 ⁵ |
| Unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 552 | 608 | 1015 | 299 |

Table 2c. Age Information

| Number of Persons in Households | Cortez Hill | VVSD | Alpha | PATH |
|---------------------------------|-------------|------|------------------|------|
| Under 18 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18-24 | 29 | 11 | 134 | 18 |
| Over 24 | 183 | 597 | 881 ⁶ | 274 |
| Don't Know/Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Missing Information | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 552 | 608 | 1015 | 299 |

¹ Cortez Hill Family Center managed by YWCA of San Diego County (sub-recipient of San Diego Housing Commission).

Appendix P Page 2 of 7

² Veterans Village of San Diego (sub-recipient of San Diego Housing Commission).

³ Alpha Project for the Homeless (sub-recipient of San Diego Housing Commission).

⁴ People Assisting The Homeless (sub-recipient of San Diego Housing Commission).

⁵ There were four (4) Transgender people categorized as Female in HMIS due to ID badges and how they printed.

⁶ Age ranges used were 18–30, 31–50, and 51–61.

ESG eCon Planning Suite Reporting



Table 2d. Special Populations Served

| Sub-Population | Cortez Hill—Total for Emergency Shelters | VVSD—Total for Emergency Shelters | Alpha—Total for Emergency Shelters | PATH—Total for Emergency Shelters |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Veterans | 7 | 608 | 107 | 42 |
| Victims of Domestic | 39 | 2 | 89 | 44 |
| Violence | | | | |
| Elderly | N/A | 104 | 95 | 28 |
| HIV/AIDS | 0 | 2 | 5 | 17 |
| Chronically | 0 | 444 | 588 | 165 |
| Homeless | | | | |
| Persons with | | | | |
| Disabilities: | | | | |
| Severely Mentally III | 10 | 169 | 153 | 73 |
| Chronic Substance | 2 | 134 | 46 | 28 |
| Abuse | | | | |
| Other Disability | N/A | 225 | 22 | 92 |
| Total (Unduplicated if Possible) | 58 | 1,688 | 1,105 | 489 |

Section 3: Rapid Re-Housing

The City of San Diego and the San Diego Housing Commission assisted the following populations with rapid re-housing activities using ESG funds:

Table 3a. Household Information

| Number of Persons in Household | Total | |
|--------------------------------|-------|--|
| Adults | 95 | |
| Children | 11 | |
| Don't Know/Refused | 0 | |
| Missing Information | 0 | |
| Total | 106 | |

Table 3b. Gender Information

| Gender | Total |
|-------------|-------|
| Male | 53 |
| Female | 53 |
| Transgender | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 |
| Total | 106 |

Appendix P Page **3** of **7**

ESG eCon Planning Suite Reporting



Table 3c. Age Information

| Number of Persons in Households | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Under 18 | 11 |
| 18-24 | 8 |
| Over 24 | 87 |
| Don't Know/Refused | 0 |
| Missing Information | 0 |
| Total | 106 |

Table 3d. Special Populations Served

| Sub-Populations | Total Persons Served Rapid Re-Housing |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Veterans | 20 |
| Victims of Domestic Violence | 2 |
| Elderly | 6 |
| HIV/AIDS | 0 |
| Chronically Homeless | 61 |
| Persons with Disabilities: | |
| Severely Mentally III | 1 |
| Chronic Substance Abuse | 3 |
| Other Disability | 1 |
| Total (Unduplicated if Possible) | 94 |

CR-70 ESG Assistance Provided (ESG Recipients Only)

Regulation Citation(s): 24 CFR 91.520(g)

Section 4: Shelter Utilization

The following section shows data on shelter utilization rates. Local data sources were used to populate the tables.

Table 4. Shelter Utilization

| | Cortez Hill | VVSD | Alpha | PATH |
|---|-------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Number of Beds—Rehabbed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of Beds—Conversion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Number of Bed-Nights Available | 54,750 | 30,900 | 42,400 | 22,500 |

Appendix P Page 4 of 7

ESG eCon Planning Suite Reporting



| | Cortez Hill | VVSD | Alpha | PATH |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Total Number of bed-nights provided | 52,560 | 28,700 | 42,400 | 15,618 |
| | | | | |
| Capacity Utilization | 96% | 93% | 100% | 69% |

CR-75 ESG Expenditures (ESG Recipients Only)

Regulation Citation(s): 24 CFR 91.520(g)

Section 5: Expenditures

The following section shows ESG expenditures on ESG components and match sources. Local financial data was used to complete the tables.

Table 5a: ESG Expenditures for Homeless Prevention

| | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Expenditures for Rental Assistance | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Service— Financial Assistance | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services— Services | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Subtotal Homeless Prevention | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table 5b: ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

| | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
|---|---------|---------|----------|
| Expenditures for Rental Assistance | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Service— Financial Assistance | N/A | N/A | \$42,375 |

Appendix P Page 5 of 7

ESG eCon Planning Suite Reporting



| | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
|---|---------|---------|----------|
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services— Services | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Subtotal Re-Housing Prevention | N/A | N/A | \$42,375 |

Table 5c: ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

| ESG Expenditures for | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Emergency Shelters | | | |
| Essential Services | \$661,244 | \$642,555.76 | \$628,303 |

Table 5d: Other Grant Expenditures

| Other Grant | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Expenditures | | | |
| Administration ⁷ | 0 | 0 | \$75,400.63 |

Table 5e: Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

| Total ESG Funds Expended | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--|
| \$2,049,878.39 | \$661,244 | \$642,555.76 | \$746,078.63 | |

Table 5f: Match Source

| Match Source | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Other Non-ESG HUD | \$787,409 | \$779,133.94 | \$662,314 |
| Funds | | | |
| Local Government | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 |
| Total Match Amount | \$987,409 | \$979,133.94 | \$862,314 |

Appendix P Page 6 of 7

⁷ ESG Administration expenditures were covered by CDBG in FY 2010 and FY 2011.

ESG eCon Planning Suite Reporting



Table 5g: TOTAL

| | FY 2010 | FY 2011 | FY 2012 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| Total Amount of Funds Expended | | | |
| \$4,878,735.33 | \$1,648,653 | \$1,621,689.70 | \$1,608,392.63 |

Appendix P Page **7** of **7**

